I. Baal-Peor: The Lord of the Opening

Baal: means Lord or Owner and refers to Satan.

Peor: means "Cleft" of "Gap" – from the word pa'ar meaning to open wide.

II. Health Warning:

Oral Sex Increases Cancers of Mouth and Throat in Children and Adult

The cleft or opening to which Peor referred to, was the woman genital part. The goddess Ashtoreth was the representation of the female genital part while Molech was the representation of the male genital part. While, all immoral sexual acts were considered an homage to Baal, the worship of Baal-Peor was considered to be the most obscene.

The temples had dedicated male and female prostitutes (priests and priestess) and anal and oral sex were practiced by same sex or opposite sex in order to commune with Baal-Peor. While male prostitutes participated in the act, only the feminine principle was glorified; man had to sacrifice his manhood to join in the act. In either case, he effeminized himself. This brought glory to Baal-Peor because the worshiper had to abase himself both physically and spiritually before the cleft. The rites of Baal-Peor only exalt the woman principle.

Some have asked us, "Are you saying that acts of sodomy (anal or oral sex) are sinful, even in marriage?" While there are likely a number of mixed messages people give to this question, it is a sin even in a marriage. There are several reasons why.

- 1. Sodomy is a sin. Similar to the meaning of Peor (Balaam's father), the Hebrew word for Sodom literally means "burning". Sodomy is defined as "anal or oral copulation with a member of the opposite sex; copulation with a member of the same sex; or bestiality." The act is considered sodomy even when it pertains to the opposite sex. Heterosexuals who participate in this act are sodomites by the very definition of the word. It wouldn't take long in reviewing the Scriptures to understand how God feels about sodomy. The Bible is not silent on this topic.
- **2. It is idolatry.** The word "sodomite" in the Old Testament is the word "qadesh" which means "male temple prostitute". The female counterpart to that (the word "qĕdeshah") is often translated as "harlot" or "whore". Some say that God only disapproved of this act as it related to temple prostitution. **Yet, the**

act itself is an act of idolatry no matter who performs it. One does not have to be a temple whore of Baal for the act to be sinful. On the contrary, one becomes a temple whore of Baal by doing the act. Holding true to the definition of the word sodomy, these temple prostitutes would perform homosexual acts on anyone, regardless of gender. The act itself was the means by which the participants were joined to or became one with Baal; the act itself was the means of worship by which Baal was sought to cross over and indwell the participants. Today, music performers entice young and old in the practice of the worship of Baal-Peor with their lyrics, subliminal videos and images. Remember: the act itself was the means by which the participants were joined to or became one with Baal; the act itself was the means of worship by which Baal was sought to cross over and indwell the participants. This is what the children are indoctrinated in public schools today.

- 3. The marriage bed should be kept in honor Hebrews 13:4. Some assert that there is an anything goes mentality to intimacy in marriage because "the marriage bed is undefiled." However the Bible prefaces that text by saying that marriage is first honorable. The text also states that fornication and adultery defile the marriage bed. The word for fornication is the Greek word porneia which means illicit sexual intercourse. So sex acts that God deems as illicit are not acceptable just because it is practiced in the confines of a marriage. It defiles the marriage bed which should be held in honor. The question then becomes are acts of sodomy illicit?
- **4**. **God gives us good gifts** (James 1:17). God does not give us gifts that would cause us harm. Therefore, we can look at the function, purpose, and design of certain acts to determine whether or not these would be considered natural in God's eyes. If a sexual act is ordained by God (i.e. it is natural), then it would have certain **inherent protections for the participants in the act**.
- **5. Design** The **woman and man** are given **sexual parts** that **physically correlate to and fit each other**. They are designed to meet together naturally, **without forced manipulation**.
- **6. Protection** God designed **protections** into the body for this interaction. The **lining of the uterus is designed to prevent the semen from entering into the blood stream of the woman. God does not provide the same protections for acts of sodomy.** These acts can **disrupt normal bodily functions and cause damage to bodily systems**. There is also an element of danger involved in the act oral sex performed on women that **can lead to**

death. It is not a protected act (in terms of the body's design) the way that sexual intercourse is.

- **7. Union** Man and woman being joined together sexually become **one flesh only occurs during sexual intercourse**.
- **8. Procreation** Children can only result during sexual intercourse. We can see by its design, function, and purpose that sexual intercourse between a man and woman is a **natural use** of sex. All of these elements work together to provide a safe, enjoyable, and purposeful interaction. **The same cannot be said for acts of sodomy**.
- **9. It is uncleanness**. Apart from the **physical uncleanness** of the act, there is also a **spiritual component**. Romans Chapter 1 describes **man's degradation** into **reprobation**. [Confusing reality with fiction as **schizophrenia** does to the mind]. Man doesn't just wake up one day reprobate, but there are a series of steps man takes further and further into sin. The sin immediately preceding homosexuality is **men and women dishonoring their bodies** between themselves with uncleanness. The word for "dishonor" in that text is a derivative of the word "honorable" in the Hebrews 13:4 text about marriage. This refers **also to all heterosexual sexual sin (oral copulation, anal copulation, bestiality, etc.).**
- 10. It is an "unnatural use". Romans 1:26 states, "For this cause God gave them up unto vile affections: for even their women did change the natural use into that which is against nature." The word "use" is the Greek word "chrēsis" which refers to use of the sexual parts of the woman. Note that there is a "natural" use and an "unnatural" use. What the women were doing with each other sexually was an unnatural use of that part of their bodies. Similarly, we are told in Romans 1:27 that the acts performed between the men was likewise unnatural. "And likewise also the men, leaving the natural use of the woman, burned in their lust one toward another; men with men working that which is unseemly, and receiving in themselves that recompence of their error which was meet." Romans 1:26-27 not only condemns the affections that draw men and women to the same gender (vile affections), it also condemns the acts that they performed as a result of these desires (against nature).

11. It formats the mind to be asexual. The act itself is not gender-specific. In an attempt to validate homosexuality as a norm, Jamake Highwater's book *The Mythology of Transgression: Homosexuality as Metaphor* states how the masculine and feminine depiction of Baal-Peor represents a bi-gender nature. He goes on to say: "During the worship of Baal-Peor, priests dressed as women and priestesses dressed as men." The transgender cross-dressing is entirely consistent with the asexual nature of the act. Since it can be performed by anyone who has a mouth, gender becomes irrelevant. It is an intentional blurring of the sexes. That makes participation in this act a critical step for Satan to use in preparing man's mind for the acceptance of homosexuality. God is able to give husbands and wives a fulfilling, exciting, and pleasurable intimate relationship because that is how He designed it to be.

We sometimes forget that sex was God's gift to married people and He knows what He is doing. Yet through the worship of Baal-Peor, Satan was able to ensnare the nation of Israel and he is still trying to do the same today.

II. Cancer, Oral Sex and Presidential Politics: Did Bill Clinton Cause an Increase in Throat Cancer? THE BLOG - July 05, 2012 - Updated Dec 06, 2017

Bryan Fischer's Oral Sex Hypothesis

American sexual behavior – Bryan Fischer is the host of the daily 'Focal Point' radio talk program on AFR Talk, a division of the American Family Association. 'Focal Point' airs live from 1-3 pm Central Time, and is also simulcast on the AFA Channel, which can be seen on the Sky Angel network.

Is Oral Sex A Health Hazard?

Fischer says that oral sex can be bad for your health. Unfortunately, he may be right. With more than 30,000 new cases a year, cancers of the mouth and throat are on the rise, especially among the young. A 2007 study found that people with oropharyngeal cancers were considerably more likely to have had multiple oral sex partners than a cancer free control group. Another study found that oral sex (and even open-mouth kissing) facilitates the transmission of human papillomavirus. (HPV is the malicious family of bugs which can cause genital warts and cancer). But what really got Fischer in a twit was a January 2012 study which found that 7 percent of Americans aged 14 to 69 are infected with oral HPV. This spells trouble as the most common variety of the HPV in people's mouths is also among the most virulent in terms of causing cancer.

Oddly, Fischer neglected to mention another STD that is associated with oral sex — herpes. In times past, the simplex 1 variant of herpes was considered a non-sexually transmitted oral form of the virus which caused cold sores around the mouth. A different form, herpes simplex 2, was thought to cause the STD, genital herpes. But because of the increased popularity of oral sex, more and more people are showing up at their doctors with cold sore herpes infections on their genitals. Indeed, at the University of Wisconsin, the proportion of students' genital sores caused by herpes simplex 1 jumped from 31 percent in 1993 (the year Bill Clinton became president) to 78 percent in 2001 (the year Clinton was replaced by his successor)." Hal Herzog is Professor of Psychology at Western Carolina University.

Oral Sex Linked to Rise in Men's Throat Cancer

• BY SUSAN DONALDSON JAMES Oct. 20, 2010

Doctors say that changing sexual behaviors -- earlier sex, more partners and especially oral sex -- are contributing to a new epidemic of orpharyngeal squamous cell cancers, those of the throat, tonsils and base of the tongue. These cancers can be deadly, and are striking men at a younger age and in increasing numbers.

"There's a lag in information," said Dr. John Deeken, a medical oncologist at Georgetown University. "We physicians have done a poor job of advertising the fact that boys and girls should have the vaccine."

"This kind of cancer traditionally affects males who have been smoking and drinking all their life, and now in their mid-60s they are getting head and neck cancer," he said. "However, HPV cancer we are seeing in younger patients who have never smoked." Two decades ago, about 20 percent of all oral cancers were HPV-related, but today that number is more than 50 percent, according to studies published by the <u>American Association for Cancer Research</u>.

Similarly high rates have also been seen in Europe, where a new Swedish study has shown a strong correlation between oral cancers and oral sex. Oddly, the rising rates have not been seen yet in the Southern Hemisphere in Australia and New Zealand. Each year, more than 30,000 new cases of cancer of the oral cavity and pharynx are diagnosed, and more than 8,000 people die from oral cancer, according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Cure rates are higher than for smoking-related throat cancers, but still only 50 percent. Today, men are more likely to get oral cancer than are women, but as the epidemic grows, that could soon change.

"We expect in head and neck cancers that 85 percent are men and 15 percent are women," said Deeken. "But over the coming years that could become equal."

"It's going to take a couple of decades to see the trend turning around," he said. "The epidemiological risk factors are past sexual partners as well as marijuana exposure, not just oral sex."

Human Papilloma Virus Affecting More Men

<u>HPV</u> is the most common sexually-transmitted infection. Those who are infected often have no symptoms and pass it on to their partners through genital contact during vaginal and anal sex. It can also be transmitted during oral sex and, more rarely, during deep kissing through saliva.

There are more than 100 strains of the virus. Some cause genital warts, but others can result in cell changes that decades later can become cancerous. Each strain is identified by a number; oral and cervical cancers are caused by HPV sub-types 16 and 18. HPV can also cause cancers of the vulva, vagina, penis and anus, and there is some evidence it is associated with esophageal and lung cancers.

The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved the use of <u>Gardasil</u> for girls in 2006 and for boys for treatment of genital and anal warts in 2009. The vaccine can be given at any age, though it is most effective given young people before any sexual exposure. Doctors say it could prevent 10,000 more cases of oral cancer a year.

Several deaths associated with the vaccine led doctors to advise caution in the rush to promote widespread use of the vaccine, and doctors say there is a lack of public awareness of its role in preventing cancer. "With any new vaccine, you have to err on the side of caution, but every year we know more about it," said Deeken. "But we have to ask the question: What do we do for the spouses and kids of our patients? I don't see any downside to vaccination at this time. My son and daughter will get it." Because humans are the only reservoir for HPV, "it could be eliminated like smallpox," he said. The research isn't new, but it has not received wide attention, perhaps because of taboos associated with oral sex.

Oral sex has become more commonplace; people have more sex partners and have sex earlier in life -- all behaviors linked to HPV-related oral cancers, according to a study in the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC) Emerging Infectious Diseases report.

A study at the Swedish Karolinska Institutet showed the risk of developing oral HPV infection increased with a rise in lifetime oral or vaginal sex partners. It also cited "open mouth kissing." The study included 542 American students, and noted similar increases in such cancers in Britain, Finland and The Netherlands.

But <u>Dr. Kevin Cullen</u>, director of University of Maryland's Marlene and Stewart Greenebaum Cancer Center, is not sure only oral sex is to blame. "It's hard for me to believe sexual behaviors have changed that much in 15 to 20 years," he said. "It may be that as happens, epidemics get enough people infected and an infection begins to take off, and that may have happened with HPV at some point."

A study Cullen did last year found that <u>HPV-related oral cancer in African Americans</u> were less common than whites, perhaps because of negative cultural attitudes about oral sex. "But it looks like blacks are beginning to catch up with whites," said Cullen.

Scientists also don't know why women tend to develop cervical cancer while men have more throat cancer. "Maybe women are better able to transmit to a man than a man to the oral mucosa of a woman," said Cullen. Doctors also think that cancer is likely to develop in the first area of exposure in women, usually the vagina. The woman may then develop later immunity in the throat. But with more oral sex, often before vaginal sex, female throat cancers could increase, they say. Very little HPV was seen until the 1980s. "It was very rare in our archives," said Cullen. "But each year we looked, it was more prevalent. Why, no one is really sure." And doctors say those numbers have not yet peaked.

"There is increasing evidence that boys as well as girls should be vaccinated," said Cullen. "Men and women are increasingly going to face the burden of cancer, and we have a tool to prevent it." Why the medical community has not fully embraced vaccination is not clear. "The lead time for development of oral cancer is in decades, so to do definitive studies would take decades to do," he said. "[The FDA] picked the simpler task of preventing HPV warts in the short time frame."

Resistance has also come from safety concerns, as well as the fear by some groups that vaccination for a sexually transmitted disease will promote sexual behavior. Cervical cancer just may just be "sexier" than throat cancer, said Dr. Ranit Mishori, a family physician in the Georgetown University School of Medicine. "We don't think about oral cancer except in smokers," she said. "There is no question HPV is the cause of most oral cancers, but it's partly an awareness issue relating to our kids' sex life, and who wants to talk about oral sex?"

Convincing parents to vaccinate their sons as well as their daughters is a "hard sell," said Mishori. "Oftentimes it's the moms who take the kids to the doctor, and we tell them we have this great vaccine that can prevent their daughter from getting cervical cancer," she said. "Moms can easily relate."

But it's harder to tell her "to give her son three painful shots so that he won't transmit it to his girlfriend in the future and might not transmit cancer or have oral cancer himself," said Mishori. As for potential side effects with the vaccine, Mishori said those concerns are "pretty minor compared to the potential."

"It hasn't been around too long, but it's been tested on thousands of women," she said. "The fact that the vaccine prevents cancer is astounding in itself."

Researcher: Odette Boily

April 7, 2018

Personal Note: Instead of vaccines, why not teach our sons and daughters

'Abstinence, Purity and Chastity'.